

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
. 09/734,278	12/10/2000	Jesse Jaejin Kim		3721
Jesse Kim	7590 09/04/2008		EXAM	INER
1198 Morrill Court			LEWIS, DAVID LEE	
San Jose, CA 9	5132		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		2629	
,			<u> </u>	
		•	MAIL DATÉ	DELIVERY MODE
			09/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/734,278	KIM, JESSE JAEJIN		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
·	DAVID L. LEWIS	2629		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Descriptions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 f	<u>May 2008</u> .			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	1.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	1 1			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•		
Application Papers	•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 December 2000 is/a				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	d Office Action of John P10-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority document		•		
Certified copies of the priority document				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior		received in this National Stage		
application from the International Burea		and the desired		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.		
Attachment(s)	, ,, ¬ , , , , ,			
X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	<u> </u>		

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicants petition to revive, filed on 3/7/2007, was granted on 5/13/2008.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are pending.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 filed on 8/30/2006 have been considered, are in part persuasive, but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Rappaport et al. anticipates the claimed invention as rejected above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rappaport et al. (6971063).

Art Unit: 2629

As in claim 1, Rappaport et al. teaches of a 3D graphics system, figures 3 and 9, column 3 lines 54-67, column 6 lines 45-55, column 14 lines 15-43,

comprising: a server to receive a 3D file, the 3D file conforming to one or more formats, figure 9 item 100, column 8 lines 45-55, column 14 line 60 to column 15 line 6, column 16 lines 20-25;

and a handheld device adapted to communicate with the server the 3D file, the handheld device capable of visualizing the 3D file, figure 9 item 102, column 14 line 60 to column 15 line 6, column 18 lines 30-50.

As in claim 2, Rappaport et al. teaches of, wherein the server performs file conversion and file compression, column 8 lines 3-55, column 13 lines 55-67, column 14 lines 1-35.

As in claim 3, Rappaport et al. teaches of, wherein code is stored on the server and downloaded to the handheld device as needed, column 14 lines 15-45, column 15 lines 3-6 and 20-40.

Art Unit: 2629

As in claim 4, Rappaport et al. teaches of, wherein the 3D rendering code is embedded in the handheld device, column 6 lines 42-65, column 7 lines 7-17 and 55-67.

As in claim 5, Rappaport et al. teaches of, wherein the handheld device handles recording, playback, editing, storage, conversion, management and transmission of a 3D graphics file from the handheld device to the server, column 14 lines 15-45, column 15 lines 1-6.

As in claim 6, Rappaport et al. teaches of, wherein the handheld device is a cellular phone, personal digital assistant, smart phone, or a resource-constrained mobile computer, column 6 lines 27-41.

As in claim 7, Rappaport et al. teaches of, wherein the server is connected to the Internet, column 16 lines 20-30, column 17 lines 20-35.

As in claim 8, Rappaport et al. teaches of a mobile 3D visualization system, figures 3 and 9,

comprising: a handheld device adapted to receive graphics files from a plurality of sources conforming to a plurality of file formats, figure 9 item 102, column 8 lines 30-55, column 14 line 60 to column 15 line 6;

Art Unit: 2629

and a server coupled to the handheld device, the server distributing the 3D graphics file to the device, figure 9 item 100, column 14 lines 15-40, column 15 lines 1-40.

As in claim 9, Rappaport et al. teaches of, wherein the server performs 3D file conversion and file compression, column 8 lines 3-55, column 13 lines 55-67, column 14 lines 1-35.

As in claim 10, Rappaport et al. teaches of, wherein the server contains code for the handheld device to convert, decompress, view, interact with, control and render 3D files, column 16 lines 19-25.

As in claim 11, Rappaport et al. teaches of, wherein the code is downloaded to the handheld device as needed, column 15 lines 1-6.

As in claim 12, Rappaport et al. teaches of, wherein the handheld device further comprises embedded code to perform conversion, decompression, viewing, interacting, controlling, and rendering of graphics files, column 6 lines 42-65, column 7 lines 7-17 and 55-67.

Art Unit: 2629

As in claim 13, Rappaport et al. teaches of software for a 3D graphics mobile device to visualize a 3D graphics file stored in one or more 3D file formats, column 6 lines 42-67, column 8 lines 45-55,

comprising: code to converting the file into a universal format, column 7 lines 40-55;

code to decompress the file, column 8 lines 3-45, column 13 lines 55-67;

and code to render the file into a 3D image, column 7 lines 40-67.

As in claim 14, Rappaport et al. teaches of, wherein the render code avoids the rendering of small details not observable on a mobile device screen to accelerate displaying the 3D image on the mobile device, column 7 lines 30-40.

As in claim 15, Rappaport et al. teaches of, further comprising code to perform resolution skipping operations on objects, column 7 lines 30-40, column 10 lines 20-35.

As in claim 16, Rappaport et al. teaches of, further comprising code to approximating an object as a sphere for purposes of lighting transformation, column 7 lines 18-30.

Art Unit: 2629

As in claim 17, Rappaport et al. teaches of, further comprising code to perform anti-aliasing operations only on stationary objects, column 6 lines 66-67, column 7 lines 1-6, said feature inherent to known cad systems.

As in claim 18, Rappaport et al. teaches of, further comprising code to perform frame skipping where, for even frames, only even lines are drawn and, for odd frames, only odd lines are drawn, column 6 lines 66-67, column 7 lines 1-6, said feature inherent to known cad systems.

As in claim 19, Rappaport et al. teaches of, further comprising code to perform world transformation operation only once for non-moving objects, column 6 lines 66-67, column 7 lines 1-6, said feature inherent to known cad systems.

As in claim 20, Rappaport et al. teaches of, wherein an input file format is converted into the universal file, column 7 lines 40-55.

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **David L. Lewis** whose telephone number is (571) 272-7673. The examiner can normally be reached on MT and THF from 8 to 5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala, can be reached on (571) 272-7681. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571)-273-8300.

3. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner: David L. Lewis

July 26, 2008

/David L Lewis/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629